United States District Court WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING **REVOCATION HEARING**

Case Number: 1:05-CR-260

RAYMOND WILLIAM ADAMS

requ	In a ire the	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C.§3 e detention of the defendant pending revocation h	142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts learing in this case.
		Part I	- Findings of Fact
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense de offense) (state or local offense that would have be existed) that is	scribed in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C	.§3156(a)(4).
		an offense for which the maximum senter	nce is life imprisonment or death.
		an offense for which the maximum term of	of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
		a felony that was committed after the defe U.S.C.§3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable	endant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 state or local offenses.
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committee offense.	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
	(3)		
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
	Alternate Findings (A) (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense		
	()	for which a maximum term of imprisonm under 18 U.S.C.§924(c).	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption reasonably assure the appearance of the defendance of t	established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will dant as required and the safety of the community.
			ate Findings (B)
	(1) (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will There is a serious risk that the defendant will en	not appear. ndanger the safety of another person or the community.
			ed by the Wyoming Police Department for a minor traffic infraction ed to flee on foot and was eventually apprehended only after being
		When the Marshals at a later date went to	pick up defendant at his girlfriend's (continued on attachment)
		Part II - Written Staten	nent of Reasons for Detention
court i demor	n ligh nstrat	nt of two attempts to flee law enforcement offic	idence that there are conditions that will assure his appearance in cers since the beginning of October 2012, and defendant's failure to sion, as evidenced by his failure to comply with his conditions of
Th correc order facility proce	ne det tions of a c shal edinç	fendant is committed to the custody of the A facility. The defendant shall be afforded a rea court of the United States or on request of ar Il deliver the defendant to the United States	ons Regarding Detention ttorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a asonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. Or a attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court
Dated	d: N	lovember 19, 2012	/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.
			Signature of Judicial Officer
			Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr., United States Magistrate Judge

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. §955a).

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

United States v. Raymond William Adams 1:05-CR-260

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION HEARING

Page 2.

Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)

apartment, she claimed he was not there and the Marshals found him hiding in the apartment.

In February of this year, defendant was placed at the RRC for four months for the use of synthetic marijuana. On October 7, 2012, 58 grams of marijuana was found in defendant's pocket when he was arrested. When defendant was subsequently arrested at his apartment, marijuana was found as well.

There is no space available at CAP.

Despite claiming employment on and off over the period of a year, defendant has never provided pay stubs to the probation office to verify any employment.